

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Pollock
<b>Given Name</b>	Andrew
<b>Person ID</b>	1286328
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Pollock
<b>Given Name</b>	Andrew
<b>Person ID</b>	1286328
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>I have lived in the Astley / Tyldesley / Mossley Common area for 37 years and rarely has there not been a building site in that area , there are 2 sites at the moment being developed which between them will have over 750 houses on them , why do we need another 1,100 houses ?? .</p> <p>Now these plans include Greenbelt land !!!Greater Manchesters own policy on Greenbelt is "to safeguard the countryside from encroachment".</p> <p>The extra 2,000 cars that this would bring would cripple traffic movement in an area that already struggles to cope , the fields to the north of the proposed boundary are a flood plain and Mort lane regularly floods to the extent that the police have to close the road.</p> <p>WHY WE NEED MORE HOUSES IN THIS AREA IS BEYOND MY COMPREHENSION</p>